

will probably lose property value and I will not be able to tolerate the noise because I already have a ~~problem~~ problem with the noise from the jets that fly over to Santa Monica ~~the~~ Airport. There are many more reasons ~~to~~ not to put the expo line through Clever Hill but it just doesn't make sense to put it through a residential area where children are going to school and the traffic congestion ~~will~~ it will create will be unbearable.

RECEIVED

EX241.1

MAR 15 2007

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I **oppose** the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets

traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access vibration

damage to homes, roadways, danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track parks, from excavation/construction

negative impacts to **Overland Avenue School (NOISE, pollution from standing traffic at grade crossing, drop off/pick up congestion, loss of teacher parking, construction dangers)**

I am **against** at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: I strongly oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way because I live just down the street a few houses away. I just purchased this house for over \$2,000,000 and I plan to be here for quite a while but if the expo line comes through I

Name _____
Address _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority.
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

RECEIVED

MAR 15 2007

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I **oppose** the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets
- traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access vibration
- damage to homes, roadways, danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track parks, from excavation/construction

negative impacts to **Overland Avenue School (NOISE, pollution from standing traffic at grade crossing, drop off/pick up congestion, loss of teacher parking, construction dangers)**

I am **against** at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: How stupid can you people be.

Put a TRAIN in a residential area. When the ridership

is on the other lines, just because you have

the money a least spend it in the right way.

Name _____
Address _____

Mailed by _____, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

RECEIVED

1NEX2-00149

MAR 15 2007

EX 241.1

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name:



Address:



Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority, 707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

INEX2-00151
RECEIVED
EX241.1
MAR 15 2007

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I **oppose** the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets

traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access vibration

damage to homes, roadways, danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track parks, from excavation/construction

negative impacts to **Overland Avenue School (NOISE, pollution from standing traffic at grade crossing, drop off/pick up congestion, loss of teacher parking, construction dangers)**

I am **against** at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name _____

Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority.
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

INEX2-00152
RECEIVED
EX 241.1
MAR 15 2007

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

Exposition Metro Li.
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the tracks
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavation

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

I do not categorically oppose the Exposition Light Rail through Cheviot Hills. I think the concerns listed above are valid, but I am in favor of the study deciding what is the highest and best use for everyone in the city. AS a resident of Cheviot Hills, I would love to have the convenience of mass transit if that was what the city/region needed.

Name _____
Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority.
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

I am not in support of the Cheviot Hills Homeowners Assoc. opposition at this point.

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- ~~noise nuisance~~ *Polution from add massive traffic*
- ~~loss of property values~~
- ~~traffic overflow onto side streets~~
- ~~vibration~~
- ~~traffic jams at rail crossings~~
- ~~impeding freeway access~~
- ~~negative impacts to Overland Avenue School~~
- ~~damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavation~~
- ~~danger to pedestrians, pets, near the tracks~~

All of the above, plus some that will come to be concerns unknown at this time

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: *Motor Ave. is already a Highway -*

Any Light Rail Station in the immediate area will cause traffic to multiply

drastically & make living in the area unbearable! Please for more

*ridership is important to a project of this size & Venice - Phase I will end there -
has miles of apartment complexes to pull riders from, also the businesses
on Venice might benefit - When doing a project of this size think of the
future & "a mile longer" or "10 minutes longer" doesn't enter into the decision*

Name _____

Address: _____

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets
 vibration traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access
 negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
 danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: This neighbor hood already has noise nuisances with the surrounding freeways and the S.U. airport, exposition is a pico bypass and has all the traffic for the post office and parking enforcement running along it. Seriously, enough!

Name: _____

Address: _____

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> noise nuisance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> loss of property values | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> traffic overflow onto side streets |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vibration | <input type="checkbox"/> traffic jams at rail crossings | <input type="checkbox"/> impeding freeway access |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> negative impacts to Overland Avenue School | <input type="checkbox"/> damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavation | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> danger to pedestrians, pets, near the tracks | | |

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name _____
Address: _____

Mail by March 16, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

1NEX2-00156
RECEIVED
EX 241.1
MAR 15 2007

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- loss of property values
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- vibration
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- impeding freeway access
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____
Address: _____

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

MAR 15 2007

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- loss of property values
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- vibration
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- Impeding freeway access
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

RECEIVED
INEX2-00157
MAR 15 2007
EX 241.1
Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

RECEIVED

INEX2 - 00159

MAR 15 2007

EX 241.1

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other either of these routes would make more sense (Ridership, &

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the tracks
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavation

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Phase I ends at Venice in Culver City, with a station there. So, the need for an add'l station & the cost, would not be necessary if the extension was on Venice. Ridership in the Venice Blvd area would no doubt support this route - NOT the Cheviot area - think long-term!

Name: _____

Address: _____

Mail by March 16, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sanberg, Project manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

RECEIVED

INEX2 - 00161

MAR 15 2007

EX 241.1

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

1NEX2-00162
RECEIVED
EX241.1
MAR 15 2007

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

MAR 15 2007

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

Exposition Metro
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- vibration
- negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track
- loss of property values
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- impeding freeway access

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right-of-way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets
 vibration traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access
 negative impacts to Overland Avenue School
 danger to pedestrians, pets, damage to homes, roadways, and parking lots from excavations near the track

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor Ave and Sepulveda Blvd.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

RECEIVED
INEX2 - 00165
MAR 15 2007
EX 241.1
Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I am concerned about the negative impacts of the LR on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of :

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> noise nuisance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> loss of property values | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> traffic overflow onto side streets |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vibration | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> traffic jams at rail crossings | <input type="checkbox"/> impeding freeway access |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> negative impacts to Overland Avenue School | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> damage to homes, roadways, |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> danger to pedestrians, pets, near the tracks | | and parking lots from excavation |

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Name _____
Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority.
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

**Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern**

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice /Sepulveda Alignment:

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other: _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

- noise nuisance
- loss of property values
- traffic overflow onto side streets
- traffic jams at rail crossings
- impeding freeway access
- vibration
- damage to homes, roadways,
- danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track parks, from excavation/construction
- negative impacts to **Overland Avenue School** (NOISE, pollution from standing traffic at grade crossing, drop off/pick up congestion, loss of teacher parking, construction dangers)

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: _____

Please see other side →

Name: _____
Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Cont'd from Page 1: Comments for Phase II:

Please study the following:

1) Current employment and residential density of both the Expo ROW alignment through Cheviot and the Venice/Sepulveda alignment.

- Note: A quote from Puget Sound Regional Council, (1999, 26) it is found that "transit adjacent to higher density residential and employment locations will have a significant impact on transit use, holding constant other factors that influence ridership." In their study on public transportation and land use policy, Pushkarev and Zupan (1977, 24) state that "in general, transit use can be seen to rise with urbanized area density." Similarly, Cervero (1994) finds that The presence of more population in an area creates a bigger market of potential transit users."

2) Transit-oriented development opportunities and projected employment growth along both the Expo ROW/Cheviot alignment and the Venice/Sepulveda alignment.

- Note: There is little opportunity for transit-oriented development along the Exposition ROW alignment through Cheviot, while the Venice/Sepulveda route presents a tremendous opportunity.

3) The cost of grade separation (i.e., tunneling/ cover) between Motor Ave. and Westwood Blvd.

4) The number of projected commuters accessing a train station:

(a) at Motor Ave.

(b) between Overland and Westwood Blvds.

5) The impact of traffic on Overland Blvd. to Overland Elementary School and the immediate residential area: the noise and air pollution created by idling cars and trucks, by the commuters in cars or feeder buses going to and from the train station/parking lot.

I hope you agree, that tax dollars should be spent wisely and where they will do the most good for the most people. I think the Venice/Sepulveda route would attract the most commuters and will, therefore, cover future operational and maintenance costs.

MAR 15 2007

Exposition Light Rail Phase II Extension
Statement of Concern

Exposition Metro Line
Construction Authority
Document Control

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route down the Venice/Sepulveda Alignment.

I support the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension route all the way down Venice Boulevard to Lincoln Boulevard.

Other _____

I oppose the Expo Light Rail Phase II Extension on the Expo right of way (ROW) in our neighborhood because of the following concerns:

noise nuisance loss of property values traffic overflow onto side streets

traffic jams at rail crossings impeding freeway access vibration

damage to homes, roadways, danger to pedestrians, pets, near the track parks, from excavation/construction

negative impacts to Overland Avenue School (NOISE, pollution from standing traffic at grade crossing, drop off/pick up congestion, loss of teacher parking, construction dangers)

I am against at-grade crossings and elevated crossings due to concerns that they would cause unacceptable traffic congestion, safety and noise issues, and/or visual blight.

I don't feel ridership and demographics support light rail on the ROW between Motor and Sepulveda.

Further comments or personal statements: Please see other side!

_____ →

Name _____
Address: _____

Mail by March 15, 2007 to: Mr. Joel Sandberg, Project Manager, Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority,
707 Wilshire Blvd., 34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

